

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	_
09/349,713	07/08/1999	HERWIG BUCHHOLZ	MERCK-1900	7039	_
23599 7	590 05/06/2003				
MILLEN, WHITE, ZELANO & BRANIGAN, P.C.		EXAMINER			
2200 CLAREN SUITE 1400	IDON BLVD.	D. SHARAREH, SHAHNAM J			
ARLINGTON,	VA 22201		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	_
			1617	0.0	_
			DATE MAILED: 05/06/2003	~2	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Annika Aka Aka					
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
057	09/349,713	BUCHHOLZ ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Shahnam Sharareh	1617				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was a Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) of ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS for cause the application to become ABANDO	timely filed days will be considered timely. om the mailing date of this communication. NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27 J	<u>anuary 2000</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-10, 12-14, 26 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-10, 12-14, 26</u> is/are rejected.						
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Inform	nary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) nal Patent Application (PTO-152)				

. 1

DETAILED ACTION

Amendment filed on February 20, 2003 has been entered. Claims 1-10, 12-14, 26 are pending. Any rejection that is not addressed here are considered obviated in view of the amendments.

Examiner also requests Applicant to provide a copy of all pending claims in response to this Office Action.

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

New Grounds of Rejection

Claims 1-10, 12-14, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 1 recites the limitation of "contains essentially no flavones, flavonoids or glycosides thereof other isoquercitrin or the above listed componenets" which renders the claim ambiguous. It is not clear what is the scope of this limitation. Specifically, what would be construed as "essentially no flavone or flavonids." The specification further fails to describe or objectively quantify this limitation. Therefore, the metes and bounds of the claims are not clear.

Claims 1-6, 8, 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawruk US Patent 5,478,579.

Sawruk discloses compositions for enhancing absorption of calcium into bones comprising at least one flavonol aglycone glycoside selected from the group consisting

Application/Control Number: 09/349,713

Art Unit: 1617

Š

of isoquercitrin, quercetin, kaemferol and myricetin (see abstract; col 2, lines 65-67, claims 6-7, 15). Sawruk further teaches amounts of isoquercetin in ranges that overlap with the instantly claimed ranges; therefore, Sawruk's isoquercetin provides antiviral activity (see col 4, lines 45-52). In example 1, the amount of isoquercetin is about 18% of the composition (125/700 x 100 = 18 percent). Sawruk fails to explicitly teach a composition comprising isoquercitrin and quercetin or kaemferol.

It is prima facie obvious to combine two compositions each of which is taught by prior art to be useful for same purpose in order to form third composition that is to be used for very same purpose; idea of combining them flows logically from their having been individually taught in prior art. *In re Kerkhoven*, 205 USPQ 1069(CCPA) 1980. Thus, claims that require no more than mixing together of two conventional compounds used for the same purpose would be prima facia obvious. In the instant case, Sawruk teaches the useful ness of isoquercitrin and kaemferol or quercetin for enhancing bone absorption. Therefore, formulating a composition that comprises a combination of isoquercitrin and a second flavone such as quercetin, kaemferol or myricetin would have been *prima facia* obvious.

Claims 1-10, 12-14, 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sawruk US Patent 5,478,579 in view of Lanzedorfer et al WO 96/18381, Fujirebio et al JP 04234320 ("JP '320") and Bean US Patent 4,132,782 ("Bean").

As argued above, Sawruk is primarily used to show oral compositions containing combination of two flavones, wherein one is isoquercitrin (see abstract, claim 15).

Application/Control Number: 09/349,713

Art Unit: 1617

Sawruk does not teach doses of isoquercitrin as an antiviral agent nor does it explicitly teach topical formulation of isoquercitrin.

Lanzendorfer is used to show that conventional nature of art in combining flavones in oral and topical compositions. Lanzendorfer discloses topical and oral flavonoid containing compositions comprising various vitamins, UVB or UVA filters and at least one flavone including quecertin and isoquecertin derivatives. Given the fact that isoquecertin is one of the four subspecies of quecertin, the teachings of Lanzendorfer also encompass utility of isoquecertin. Lanzendorfer also indicates antiviral activity with his composition (see abstract, page 3-5, 43, 54-60, claims 1-7, and examples, example 27 is an oral preparation). Lanzendorfer does not specifically teach antiviral formulations.

JP '320 and Bean are collectively used to show the antiviral activity of isoquercitrin and suitable doses thereof. JP '320 teaches effective doses of isoquercitrin for treatment Hepatitis B Virus (HBV) (see abstract). Bean is also used to show the effectiveness of topical isoquercitrin against herpes simplex virus and useful doses thereof. (see abstract, col 2, lines 15-20). JP '320 and Bean do explicitly teach isoquercitrin compositions with a vitamin or a UV filter.

The teachings of Sawruk, JP 320, Bean and Lanzendorfer are analogous because they all teach various compositions and utilities of flavones containing compositions.

Although Sawruk does not teach topical compositions of isoquercitrin containing a UV filter, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of

Art Unit: 1617

invention to use the teachings of Lanzendorfer and modify the isoquercitrin formulations of Seito for the purposes of preparing a topical composition of isoquercitrin with a UV filter, because as taught by Lanzendorfer preparing such topical formulations of flavones is conventional, and one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to formulate topical formulations to reduce systemic side effects.

Further, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to use such compositions for their anti-viral activity, since as taught by JP '320 and Bean, it was well known in the art that isoquercitrin has antiviral activity against various species of viruses including herpes simplex virus.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Application/Control Number: 09/349,713

Art Unit: 1617

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later

than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Shahnam Sharareh whose telephone number is 703-

306-5400. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am - 6:00 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Sreenivasan Padmanabhan, PhD can be reached on 703-308-1877. The

fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned

are 703-308-4556 for regular communications and 703-308-4556 for After Final

communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or

proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-

1123.

SS

April 25, 2003

RUSSELL TRAVERS PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1200

Page 6